Lecture 8
Models for Censored and Truncated Data - Tobit Model

Censored and Truncated Data

• In some data sets we do not observe values above or below a certain magnitude, due to a censoring or truncation mechanism.

Examples:

- A central bank intervenes to stop an exchange rate falling below or going above certain levels.
- Dividends paid by a company may remain zero until earnings reach some threshold value.
- A government imposes price controls on some goods.
- A survey of only working women, ignoring non-working women.

In these situations, the observed data consists of a combination of measurements of some underlying *latent variable* and observations that arise when the censoring/truncation mechanism is applied.

Censored and Truncated Data: Definitions

• Y is *censored* when we observe X for all observations, but we only know the true value of Y for a restricted range of observations. Values of Y in a certain range are reported as a single value or there is significant clustering around a value, say 0.

- If $Y = k$ or $Y > k$ for all Y, then Y is *censored from below* or *left-censored*.
- If $Y = k$ or $Y < k$ for all Y, then Y is *censored from above* or *right-censored*.

We usually think of an uncensored Y, Y^*, the true value of Y when the censoring mechanism is not applied. We typically have all the observations for $\{Y,X\}$, but not $\{Y^*,X\}$.

• Y is *truncated* when we only observe X for observations where Y would not be censored. We do not have a full sample for $\{Y,X\}$, we exclude observations based on characteristics of Y.

Censored and Truncated Data: Example 1



• We observe the full range of Y and the full range of X.

Censored and Truncated Data: Example 2



• If $Y \geq 6$, we do not know its exact value.

Example: A Central Bank intervenes if the exchange rate hits the band's upper limit. => If $S_t \geq \bar{E}$ => $S_t = \bar{E}$

Censored and Truncated Data: Example 2



• The pdf of the exchange rate, S_t, is a mixture of discrete (mass at $S_t=\bar{E}$) and continuous (Prob[$S_t<\bar{E}$]) distributions.

Censored and Truncated Data: Example 3



• If $Y \leq 5$, we do not know its exact value.

Example: A Central Bank intervenes if the exchange rate hits the band's lower limit. => If $S_t \leq \bar{E}$ => $S_t = \bar{E}$.

Censored and Truncated Data: Example 3



• The pdf of the observable variable, y, is a mixture of discrete (prob. mass at Y=5) and continuous (Prob[Y*>5]) distributions.

Censored and Truncated Data: Example 3



• Under censoring we assign the full probability in the censored region to the censoring point, 5.

Censored and Truncated Data: Example 4



• If $Y < 3$, the value of X (or Y) is unknown. *(Truncation from below.)*

<u>Example</u>: If a family's income is below certain level, we have no information about the family's characteristics.

Censored and Truncated Data: Example 4



• Under data censoring, the censored distribution is a combination of a pmf plus a pdf. They add up to 1. We have a different situation under truncation. To create a pdf for *Y* we will use a conditional pdf.

Censored Normal

• Moments:

Let $y^* \sim N(\mu^*, \sigma^2)$ and $\alpha = (c - \mu^*)/\sigma$. Then

- Data: $y_i = y_i^*$ if $y_i^* \geq c$
  $= c$ if $y_i^* \leq c$

- $\text{Prob}(y = c \mid x) = \text{Prob}(y^* \leq c \mid x) = \text{Prob}[(y^* - \mu^*)/\sigma \leq (c - \mu^*)/\sigma \mid x]$
  $= \text{Prob}[z \leq (c - \mu^*)/\sigma \mid x] = \Phi(\alpha)$
- $\text{Prob}(y > c \mid x) = \text{Prob}(y^* > 0 \mid x) = 1 - \Phi(\alpha)$

- First Moment
  - Conditional: $E[y \mid y^* > c] = \mu^* + \sigma\,\lambda(\alpha)$
  - Unconditional: $E[y] = \Phi(\alpha)\, c + (1 - \Phi(\alpha))\,[\mu^* + \sigma\,\lambda(\alpha)]$

Censored Normal

• To get the first moment, we use a useful result –proven later- for a truncated normal distribution. If *v* is a standard normal variable and the truncation is from below at *c,* a constant, then

$$E(v \mid v > c) = F^{-1} f = \frac{\phi(c)}{1 - \Phi(c)}$$

- In our conditional model, c = -($\mathbf{x}_i$'β). (Note that the expectation is also conditioned on **x**, thus **x** is treated as a constant.).

- Note: The ratio $F_i^{-1} f_i$ (a pdf dividided by a CDF) is called *Inverse Mill's ratio*, usually denoted by λ(.) –the *hazard function*. If the truncation is from above:

$$\lambda(c) = -\frac{\phi(c)}{1 - \Phi(c)}$$

13

Censored Normal

• To get the first moment, we use a useful result –proven later- for a truncated normal distribution. If *v* is a standard normal variable and the truncation is from below at *c,* a constant, then

$$E(v \mid v > c) = F^{-1} f = \frac{\phi(c)}{1 - \Phi(c)} = \frac{\phi(-c)}{\Phi(-c)}$$

- In our conditional model, c = -($\mathbf{x}_i$'β). (Note that the expectation is also conditioned on **x**, thus **x** is treated as a constant.).

- Note: The ratio $F_i^{-1} f_i$ (a pdf dividided by a CDF) is called *Inverse Mill's ratio*, usually denoted by λ(.) –the *hazard function*. If the truncation is from above:

$$\lambda(c) = -\frac{\phi(c)}{1 - \Phi(c)}$$



14

Censored Normal

• Moments (continuation):

- Unconditional first moment:

$E[y] = \Phi(\alpha)\, c + (1- \Phi(\alpha))\, [\mu^*+\sigma\, \lambda(\alpha)]$

If $c = 0$ => $E[y] = (1- \Phi(-\mu^*/\sigma))\, [\mu^*+\sigma\, \lambda(\alpha)] = \Phi(\mu^*/\sigma))\, [\mu^*+\sigma\, \lambda(\alpha)]$

- Second Moment

$$Var(y) = \sigma^2 (1-\Phi(\alpha)) \begin{bmatrix} (1-\delta) \\ +(\alpha-\lambda)^2 \Phi(\alpha) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{where } \delta = \lambda^2 - \lambda\alpha \quad 0 \le \delta \le 1$$

Censored Normal – Hazard Function

• The moments depend on $\lambda(c)$, the Inverse Mill's ratio or hazard rate evaluated at c:

$$\lambda(c) = \frac{\phi(c)}{1-\Phi(c)} = \frac{\phi(-c)}{\Phi(-c)}$$

It is a monotonic function that begins at zero (when $c = -\infty$) and asymptotes at infinity (when $c = -\infty$). See plot below for $(-c)$.



Truncated Normal

• Moments:

Let $y^* \sim N(\mu^*, \sigma^2)$ and $\alpha = (c - \mu^*)/\sigma$.

- First moment:

$E[y^*|y> c] = \mu^* + \sigma\,\lambda(\alpha)$ <= This is the truncated regression.

=> If $\mu>0$ and the truncation is from below –i.e., $\lambda(\alpha) >0$–, the mean of the truncated variable is greater than the original mean

Note: For the standard normal distribution $\lambda(\alpha)$ is the mean of the truncated distribution.

- Second moment:

- $Var[y^*|y> c] = \sigma^2[1 - \delta(\alpha)]$ where $\delta(\alpha) = \lambda(\alpha)\,[\lambda(\alpha)- \alpha]$

=> Truncation reduces variance! This result is general, it applies to upper or lower truncation given that $0 \leq \delta(\alpha) \leq 1$

Truncated Normal

Model: $y_i^* = X_i\beta + \varepsilon_i$

Data: $y = y^* \mid y^* > 0$



• Truncated regression model:

$E(y_i|\ y_i^* > 0,X_i) = X_i\beta + \sigma\lambda_i$

Censored and Truncated Data: Intuition

• To model the relation between the observed *y* and *x*, we consider a latent variable y* that is subject to censoring/truncation. A change in *x* affects *y* only through the effect of *x* on y*.

- Model the true, latent variable: $y^* = f(x) = \mathbf{X}\,\boldsymbol{\beta} + \text{error}$
- Observed Variable: $y = h(y^*)$

• Q: What is this latent variable?

Considered the variable "Dividends paid last quarter"?

- For all the respondents with y=0 (*left censored from below),* we think of a latent variable for "excess cash" that underlies "dividends paid last quarter." Extremely cash poor would pay negative dividends if that were possible.

Censored and Truncated Data: Problems

• In the presence of censoring/truncation, we have a dependent variable, *y*, with special attribute(s):

(1) constrained <u>and</u>

(2) clustered of observations at the constraint.

<u>Examples</u>:

- Consumption (1, not 2)
- Wage changes (2, not 1)
- Labor supply (1 & 2)

• These attributes create problems for the linear model.

Censored and Truncated Data: Problems

• Censoring in a regression framework (from Ruud).



Figure 28.2 Censored regression.

• If *y* is constrained and if there is clustering
  – OLS on the complete sample biased and inconsistent.
  – OLS on the unclustered part biased and inconsistent.

Data Censoring and Corner Solutions

• The dependent variable is partly continuous, but with positive mas at one or more points.

Two different cases:

(1) Data censoring (from above or below)
  - Surveys for wealth (upper category $250,000 or more)
  - Duration in unemployment
  - Demand for stadium tickets

=> *Y** is not observed because of constraints, selection technique or measuring method (data problem)

- We are interested in the effect of *x* on *y**: $E(y^*|\boldsymbol{x})$.
  =>If $y_i^* = x_i'\beta + \varepsilon_i$, β_j measures the effect of a change of x_j on y^*.

Data Censoring and Corner Solutions

(2) Corner solutions: A significant fraction the data has zero value.

- Hours worked by married women: Many married women do not work –i.e, zero worked hours are reported.
- Luxury goods, charitable donations, alcohol consumption, etc.

=> Y^* cannot be observed because of the nature of topic.

- Contrast to data censoring: Observing the dependent variable is not a problem (For modeling, we will use a latent model, y^*.)

- We are interested in $E(y|\boldsymbol{x})$, we want to study the effect of a change in education on y, hours worked by married women.

=> If $y_i^* = \mathbf{x}_i' \boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_i$, β_j is not what we are interested.

Corner Solutions

- These data sets are typical of microeconomics. We think of these data sets as a result of utility maximization problems with two decisions:

(1) Do something or not (work or not, buy IBM or not, donate or not)

=> This is binary choice problem. The *participation* decision (y=0 or y=0) part.

(2) How much we do something (how many hours married women work, how much to invest on IBM, how much to donate to charity).

=> This a truncated sample problem. The *amount* decision (how much y is if it is positive) part.

Tobit Model (Censored Normal Regression)

• Example: We are interested on the effect of education, *x*, on the married women's hours worked, *y*.

- A model for the latent variable y*, which is only partially observed:

$y_i^* = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + \varepsilon_i = \mathbf{x}_i'\boldsymbol{\beta} + \varepsilon_i, \qquad \varepsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$

- Data (truncated sample)
  - If $y_i^* > 0$ => y_i = Actual hours worked = $y_i^* = \mathbf{x}_i'\boldsymbol{\beta} + \varepsilon_i$.
  - If $y_i^* \leq 0$ => $y_i = 0$ (y* can be negative, but if it is, y=0)

- Probability Model --$\varepsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$
  - $\text{Prob}(y=0|x) = \text{Prob}(y^* \leq 0|x) = \text{Prob}[(y^* - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})/\sigma \leq (0 - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})/\sigma|x]$
    $= \text{Prob}[z \leq -\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}/\sigma|x] = \Phi(-\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}/\sigma) = 1 - \Phi(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}/\sigma)$
  - $\text{Prob}(y>0|x) = \text{Prob}(y^* > 0|x) = 1 - \Phi(-\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}/\sigma) = \Phi(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}/\sigma)$

Tobit Model (Censored Normal Regression)

- Expectations of interest:
  - Unconditional Expectation

$E[y|x] = \text{Prob}(y>0|x) * E[y|y>0, x] + \text{Prob}(y=0|x) * 0$
$= \text{Prob}(y>0|x) * E[y|y>0, x]$
$= \Phi(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}/\sigma) * E[y^*|y>0, x]$

-Conditional Expectation (Recall: $E[y|y^*>c,x] = \mu^* + \sigma\,\lambda(\alpha)$)

$E[y|y>0,x] = \mathbf{x}_i'\boldsymbol{\beta} + \sigma\,\lambda(\mathbf{x}_i'\boldsymbol{\beta})$

Remark: The presented Tobit model –also called *Type I Tobit Model*- can be written as a combination of two models:

(1) A Probit model: It determines whether y=0 (No) or y>0 (Yes).

(2) A truncated regression model for y>0.

Tobit Model – Sample Data



- Expectations of interest:

E[y|x], E[y|y>0,x].

E[y*|x]

Tobit Model - OLS

• Suppose we do OLS, only for the part of the sample with y>0. The estimated model:

$$y_i = \mathbf{x}_i' \beta + \upsilon_i, \qquad \text{for } y_i > 0$$

- Let's look at the density of υ_i, $f_\upsilon(.)$, which must integrate to 1:

$$\int_{-x_i'\beta}^{\infty} f_\upsilon(\eta)\, d\eta = 1$$

- The ε_i's density, normal by assumption in the Tobit Model:

$$\int_{-x_i'\beta}^{\infty} f_\varepsilon(\eta)\, d\eta = F_i = \int_{-\infty}^{x_i'\beta} f_\varepsilon(\eta)\, d\eta = \int_{-\infty}^{x_i'\beta} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{\eta}{\sigma})^2}$$

- Then, $f_\upsilon(.)$ can be written as:

$$f_\upsilon = F_i^{-1} f_\varepsilon = F_i^{-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{\upsilon_i}{\sigma})^2}$$

Tobit Model - OLS

• The pdf of υ_i and the pdf of ε_i are different. In particular,
The ε_i's density, normal by assumption in the Tobit Model:

$$E[\upsilon_i] = \int_{-x_i'\beta}^{\infty} \eta f_\upsilon(\eta)\,d\eta = F_i^{-1}\int_{-x_i'\beta}^{\infty} \eta f_\varepsilon(\eta)\,d\eta$$

$$= F_i^{-1}\int_{-x_i'\beta}^{\infty} \eta \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{\eta}{\sigma})^2} d\eta = F_i^{-1}\int_{-x_i'\beta}^{\infty} \frac{\eta}{\sigma}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{\eta}{\sigma})^2} d\eta$$

$$= F_i^{-1}[-\sigma\ f_\varepsilon(\eta)]_{-x_i'\beta}^{\infty} \qquad \text{integration by substitution}$$

$$= \sigma\ F_i^{-1} f_i \neq 0 \qquad (f_i = f_\varepsilon(x_i'\beta))$$

- Then, $E[\upsilon_i|\mathbf{x}] = \sigma\ F_i^{-1} f_i = \sigma\ \lambda(\mathbf{x}_i'\beta) \neq 0$ (and it depends on $\mathbf{x}_i'\beta$)
  => $E[y_i|y_i>0,\mathbf{x}_i'\beta] = \mathbf{x}_i'\beta + \sigma\ \lambda(\mathbf{x}_i'\beta)$
  => OLS in truncated part is *biased* (omitted variables problem).

29

Tobit Model - OLS

• OLS in truncated part only is *biased*. We have an omitted variables problem. With a bit more work, we can show OLS is inconsistent too (show it!)

• Also $E[\upsilon_i^2|\mathbf{x}] = \sigma^2 - \sigma^2\,\mathbf{x}_i'\beta\ \lambda(\mathbf{x}_i'\beta)$

=> The error term, υ, not only has non-zero mean but it is also heteroskedastic.

30

Tobit Model - NLLS

• Now, we can write

$y_i = E[y_i | y_i>0, \mathbf{x}_i'\beta] + \xi_i = \mathbf{x}_i'\beta + \sigma\,\lambda(\mathbf{x}_i'\beta) + \xi_i$, for $y_i>0$

- There is a non-linear relation between x_j on y. NLLS is a possibility here, though we need a consistent estimator of β to evaluate $\lambda(\mathbf{x}_i'\beta)$ and it is not clear where to get it. Since we have heteroscedasticity, we need to allow for it. Weighted NLLS may work well.

• Note: A non-linear relation appears even if all observations are used (positive and negative values of y):

$E[y_i|\mathbf{x}_i'\beta] = \text{Prob}(y^*>0|x)^*\, E(y^*|y>0, \mathbf{x}) = F_i\ (\mathbf{x}_i'\beta + \sigma F_i^{-1} f_i)$

$= \Phi(\mathbf{x}_i'\beta)\ \mathbf{x}_i'\beta + \sigma\ \phi(\mathbf{x}_i'\beta)$

Tobit Model: Estimation

- Given our assumption for ε_i, we do ML estimation.
- For women who are working, we have $y_i^*> 0$ => $y_i>0$. Then,

$\varepsilon_i = y_i - \beta_0+\beta_1 x_i$

=> Likelihood function for a working woman is given by:

$$L_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{(y_i-\beta_0-\beta_1 x_i)^2}{2\sigma^2}} = \frac{1}{\sigma}\underbrace{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{y_i-\beta_0-\beta_1 x_i}{\sigma})^2}}_{\phi(\frac{y_i-\beta_0-\beta_1 x_i}{\sigma})} = \frac{1}{\sigma}\phi(\frac{y_i-\beta_0-\beta_1 x_i}{\sigma})$$

• For women who are not working, we have $y_i^* \le 0$ => $y_i=0$. The likelihood contribution is the probability that $y^*\le 0$, which is given by

$$L_i = P(y_i^* \le 0) = P(\beta_0+\beta_1 x_i+\varepsilon_i \le 0)$$

$$= P(\varepsilon_i \le -(\beta_0+\beta_1 x_i)) = P(\frac{\varepsilon_i}{\sigma} \le -\frac{\beta_0+\beta_1 x_i}{\sigma})$$

$$= \Phi(-\frac{\beta_0+\beta_1 x_i}{\sigma}) = 1-\Phi(\frac{\beta_0+\beta_1 x_i}{\sigma})$$

Tobit Model: Estimation

- To summarize,

$$L_i = \frac{1}{\sigma}\phi\left(\frac{y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 x_i}{\sigma}\right) \quad \text{if } y_i^* > 0$$
$$= 1 - \Phi\left(\frac{\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i}{\sigma}\right) \quad \text{if } y_i^* \leq 0$$

- We have a combination of a pdf (for the observed part of the distribution) and a CDF (for the truncated part of the distribution): a linear part and a Probit part.

- Let D_i be a dummy variable that takes 1 if $y_i>0$. Then, the above likelihood for consumer *i* can be written as.

$$L_i = \left[\frac{1}{\sigma}\phi\left(\frac{y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 x_i}{\sigma}\right)\right]^{D_i}\left[1 - \Phi\left(\frac{\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i}{\sigma}\right)\right]^{1-D_i}$$

Tobit Model: Estimation

- The likelihood function, L, for the whole sample is:

$$L(\beta_0, \beta_1, \sigma) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} L_i = \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left[\frac{1}{\sigma}\phi\left(\frac{y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 x_i}{\sigma}\right)\right]^{D_i}\left[1 - \Phi\left(\frac{\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i}{\sigma}\right)\right]^{1-D_i}$$

- The values of β_0,β_1 and σ that maximize the likelihood function are the Tobit estimators of the parameters.
- As usual, we work with the Log(L):

$$L(\beta_0, \beta_1, \sigma) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} D_i \log\left[\frac{1}{\sigma}\phi\left(\frac{y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 x_i}{\sigma}\right)\right] + (1 - D_i)\log\left[1 - \Phi\left(\frac{\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i}{\sigma}\right)\right]$$
$$= \frac{N}{2}[\log(\sigma^2) + \log(2\pi)] +$$
$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{n} D_i\left[-\frac{(y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 x_i)^2}{2\sigma^2} + (1 - D_i)\log\left[1 - \Phi\left(\frac{\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i}{\sigma}\right)\right]\right]$$

Tobit Model: Estimation – Information Matrix

- Amemiya (1973) presents the following representation for the information matrix:

$$\underset{((K+1)\,x\,(K+1))}{I(\Theta)} = \left[\begin{array}{c:c} \underset{(K\,x\,K)}{\sum_{t=1}^{T} a_i X_i X_i'} & \underset{(K\,x\,1)}{\sum_{t=1}^{T} b_i X_i} \\ \hdashline \underset{(1\,x\,K)}{\sum_{t=1}^{T} b_i X_i'} & \underset{(1\,x\,1)}{\sum_{t=1}^{T} c_i} \end{array}\right]$$

where:

$$z_i = \frac{X_i'\beta}{\sigma} \qquad a_i = \frac{-1}{\sigma^2}\left(z_i f(z_i) - \frac{f(z_i)^2}{1 - F(z_i)} - F(z_i)\right)$$

$$b_i = \frac{1}{2\sigma^3}\left(z_i^2 f(z_i) + f(z_i) - \frac{z_i f(z_i)^2}{1 - F(z_i)}\right)$$

$$c_i = -\frac{1}{4\sigma^4}\left(z_i^3 f(z_i) + z_i f(z_i) - \frac{z_i^2 f(z_i)^2}{1 - F(z_i)} - 2F(z_i)\right)$$

Tobit Model: Application I – Female Labor Supply

- **Corner Solution Case – DATA:** Female labor supply (mroz.wf1)



Series: HOURS
Sample 1 753
Observations 753

Mean	740.5764
Median	288.0000
Maximum	4950.000
Minimum	0.000000
Std. Dev.	871.3142
Skewness	0.922531
Kurtosis	3.193949
Jarque-Bera	107.9888
Probability	0.000000

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
hours	753	740.5764	871.3142	0	4950
nwifeinc	753	20.12896	11.6348	-.0290575	96
educ	753	12.28685	2.280246	5	17
exper	753	10.63081	8.06913	0	45
age	753	42.53785	8.072574	30	60
kidsge6	753	1.353254	1.319874	0	8
kidslt6	753	.2377158	.523959	0	3

Tobit Model : Application I – OLS (all y)

- **OLS whole sample** (N=753)

Dependent Variable: HOURS
Method: Least Squares
Included observations: 753
White Heteroskedasticity-Consistent Standard Errors & Covariance

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
NWIFEINC	-3.446636	2.240662	-1.538222	0.1244
EDUC	28.76112	13.03905	2.205768	0.0277
EXPER	65.67251	10.79419	6.084062	0.0000
EXPER^2	-0.700494	0.372013	-1.882983	0.0601
AGE	-30.51163	4.244791	-7.188018	0.0000
KIDSLT6	-442.0899	57.46384	-7.693359	0.0000
KIDSGE6	-32.77923	22.80238	-1.437535	0.1510
C	1330.482	274.8776	4.840273	0.0000

Tobit Model : Application I – OLS (y>0)

- **OLS subsample** - hours>0 (N=428)

Dependent Variable: HOURS
Method: Least Squares
Sample: 1 753 IF HOURS>0
Included observations: 428
White Heteroskedasticity-Consistent Standard Errors & Covariance

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
NWIFEINC	0.443851	3.108704	0.142777	0.8865
EDUC	-22.78841	16.09281	-1.416061	0.1575
EXPER	47.00509	15.38725	3.054808	0.0024
EXPER^2	-0.513644	0.417384	-1.230627	0.2192
AGE	-19.66352	5.845279	-3.364001	0.0008
KIDSLT6	-305.7209	125.4802	-2.436407	0.0152
KIDSGE6	-72.36673	31.28480	-2.313159	0.0212
C	2056.643	351.4502	5.851875	0.0000

Tobit Model : Application I – Tobit

- **Tobit whole sample** (N=753)

Dependent Variable: HOURS
Method: ML - Censored Normal (TOBIT) (Quadratic hill climbing)
Included observations: 753
Left censoring (value) at zero

	Coefficient	Std. Error	z-Statistic	Prob.
NWIFEINC	-8.814243	4.459100	-1.976687	0.0481
EDUC	80.64561	21.58324	3.736493	0.0002
EXPER	131.5643	17.27939	7.613943	0.0000
EXPER^2	-1.864158	0.537662	-3.467155	0.0005
AGE	-54.40501	7.418502	-7.333693	0.0000
KIDSLT6	-894.0217	111.8780	-7.991039	0.0000
KIDSGE6	-16.21800	38.64139	-0.419705	0.6747
C	965.3053	446.4361	2.162247	0.0306
Error Distribution				
SCALE:C(9)	1122.022	41.57910	26.98523	0.0000

Left censored obs	325	Right censored obs	0
Uncensored obs	428	Total obs	753

Partial Effects (marginal effects)

- The estimated parameters β_j measures the effect of x_j on y*. But in corner solutions, we are interested in the effect of x_j on actual *y*.

- We calculate partial effects based on two results already derived:

- For positive y's –i.e., $y_i>0$:

$E[y_i|y_i>0,\mathbf{x}_i'\boldsymbol{\beta}] = \mathbf{x}_i'\boldsymbol{\beta} + \sigma\,\lambda(\mathbf{x}_i'\boldsymbol{\beta})$

- For all y's –i.e., $y_i\geq 0$:

$E[y_i|\mathbf{x}_i'\boldsymbol{\beta}] = \text{Prob}(y^*>0|x)^*\, E(y^*|y>0, \mathbf{x}) = F_i\,[\mathbf{x}_i'\boldsymbol{\beta} + \sigma^2\lambda(\mathbf{x}_i'\boldsymbol{\beta})]$

- The partial effects are given by

$$(1)\quad \frac{\partial E(y\,|\,y>0,x)}{\partial x_k} = \beta_k\left\{1-\lambda(\frac{x'\beta}{\sigma})\left[\frac{x'\beta}{\sigma}+\lambda(\frac{x'\beta}{\sigma})\right]\right\} = \beta_k\,(1-\delta_k)$$

(1) measures the effect of an x_k change on y for working women.

Partial Effects (marginal effects)

=> β_k overstates the marginal impact of a change in X_k

2. $$\frac{\partial E(y \mid x)}{\partial x_k} = \beta_k \Phi\left(\frac{x'\beta}{\sigma}\right)$$

(2) measures the overall effect of an x_k change on hours worked.

• Both partial effects depend on **x**. Therefore, they vary by person.

• We are interested in the overall effect rather than the effect for a specific person in the data. Two ways to do this computation:

- At the sample average: Plug the mean of **x** in the above formula.
- Average of partial effects: Compute the partial effect for each individual in the data. Then, compute the average.

Partial Effects – Application I (y>0)

```
. tobit hours nwifeinc educ exper expersq age kidslt6 kidsge6, ll(0)

Tobit regression                                  Number of obs   =        753
                                                  LR chi2(7)      =     271.59
                                                  Prob > chi2     =     0.0000
Log likelihood = -3819.0946                       Pseudo R2       =     0.0343

------------------------------------------------------------------------------
       hours |      Coef.   Std. Err.      t    P>|t|     [95% Conf. Interval]
-------------+----------------------------------------------------------------
    nwifeinc |  -8.814243   4.459096    -1.98   0.048    -17.56811   -.0603724
        educ |   80.64561   21.58322     3.74   0.000     38.27453    123.0167
       exper |   131.5643   17.27938     7.61   0.000     97.64231    165.4863
     expersq |  -1.864158   .5376615    -3.47   0.001    -2.919667   -.8086479
         age |  -54.40501   7.418496    -7.33   0.000    -68.96862    -39.8414
     kidslt6 |  -894.0217   111.8779    -7.99   0.000    -1113.655   -674.3887
     kidsge6 |    -16.218   38.64136    -0.42   0.675    -92.07675    59.64075
       _cons |   965.3053   446.4358     2.16   0.031     88.88528    1841.725
-------------+----------------------------------------------------------------
      /sigma |   1122.022   41.57903                      1040.396    1203.647
------------------------------------------------------------------------------
  Obs. summary:        325  left-censored observations at hours<=0
                       428     uncensored observations
                         0 right-censored observations

. ****************************
. *Compute the Partial effect *
. *at average of educ         *
. *on hours for working women *
. *manually                   *
. ****************************
.   predict xbeta, xb

.   egen avxbeta=mean(xbeta)

.   gen avxbsig=avxbeta/_b[/sigma]

.   gen lambda=normalden(avxbsig)/normal(avxbsig)

.   gen partial=_b[educ]*(1-lambda*(avxbsig+lambda))

.   su partial

    Variable |       Obs        Mean    Std. Dev.       Min        Max
-------------+--------------------------------------------------------
     partial |       753    34.27517           0   34.27517   34.27517
```

Partial effect at average for *working women*: Computing manually.

Partial Effects – Application I (y>0)

```
. tobit hours nwifeinc educ exper expersq age kidslt6 kidsge6, ll(0)

Tobit regression                                  Number of obs   =        753
                                                  LR chi2(7)      =     271.59
                                                  Prob > chi2     =     0.0000
Log likelihood = -3819.0946                       Pseudo R2       =     0.0343

------------------------------------------------------------------------------
       hours |      Coef.   Std. Err.      t    P>|t|     [95% Conf. Interval]
-------------+----------------------------------------------------------------
    nwifeinc |  -8.814243   4.459096    -1.98   0.048    -17.56811   -.0603724
        educ |   80.64561   21.58322     3.74   0.000     38.27453    123.0167
       exper |   131.5643   17.27938     7.61   0.000     97.64231    165.4863
     expersq |  -1.864158   .5376615    -3.47   0.001    -2.919667   -.8086479
         age |  -54.40501   7.418496    -7.33   0.000    -68.96862    -39.8414
     kidslt6 |  -894.0217   111.8779    -7.99   0.000    -1113.655   -674.3887
     kidsge6 |    -16.218   38.64136    -0.42   0.675    -92.07675    59.64075
       _cons |   965.3053   446.4358     2.16   0.031     88.88528    1841.725
-------------+----------------------------------------------------------------
      /sigma |   1122.022   41.57903                      1040.396    1203.647
------------------------------------------------------------------------------
  Obs. summary:        325  left-censored observations at hours<=0
                       428     uncensored observations
                         0 right-censored observations

. ***********************************
. * Compute the partial effect      *
. * at average of educ on hours     *
. * for working women automatically *
. ***********************************
.   mfx, predict(e(0,.)) varlist(educ)

Marginal effects after tobit
      y  = E(hours|hours>0) (predict, e(0,.))
         =  1012.0327
------------------------------------------------------------------------------
variable |      dy/dx    Std. Err.     z    P>|z|  [    95% C.I.   ]      X
---------+--------------------------------------------------------------------
    educ |   34.27517     9.11708    3.76   0.000   16.406  52.1443   12.2869
------------------------------------------------------------------------------
```

Partial effect at average for *working women*: Compute automatically.

Partial Effects – Application I (all y)

```
.
. ****************************************
. *Compute the Partial effect at average  *
. *of education for the entire observation*
. *manually                               *
. ****************************************
.
. gen partial_all=_b[educ]*normal(avxbsig)

. su partial_all

    Variable |       Obs        Mean    Std. Dev.       Min        Max
-------------+--------------------------------------------------------
 partial_all |       753    48.73409           0   48.73409   48.73409

. ****************************************
. *Compute the Partial effect at average  *
. *of education for the entire observation*
. *automatically                          *
. ****************************************
.
. mfx, predict(ystar(0,.)) varlist(educ)

Marginal effects after tobit
      y  = E(hours*|hours>0) (predict, ystar(0,.))
         =  611.57078
------------------------------------------------------------------------------
variable |      dy/dx    Std. Err.     z    P>|z|  [    95% C.I.   ]      X
---------+--------------------------------------------------------------------
    educ |   48.73409      12.963    3.76   0.000   23.3263  74.1419   12.2869
------------------------------------------------------------------------------
```

Partial effect at average for *all observations*: Compute manually.

Partial effect at average for *all observations*: Compute automatically

Partial Effects – Application I (all y)

• Now, we can compare the marginal effect of education on actual hours worked.

• We compare OLS (whole sample) and Tobit estimates, on the basis of the marginal effect of education actual y, *for an average individual*:

OLS	TOBIT
$\hat{\beta}_{k,OLS}$	$\beta_k \Phi\left(\frac{\bar{x}'\beta}{\sigma}\right)$ with $\beta_k = 80.65$, $\Phi\left(\frac{\bar{x}'\beta}{\sigma}\right) = 0.604$
28.76	48.73

→ OLS underestimates the effect of education on the labor supply (in the average of the explanatory variables).

Interpretation: On average, an additional year of education increases the labor supply by 48,7 hours (for an average individual).

Tobit Model: Heteroscedasticity

• In a regression model, we scale the observations by their standard deviation (x_i/σ_i) transforming the model back to CLM framework

• In the Tobit model, we naturally work with the likelihood. The Log L for the homoscedastic Tobit model is:

$$L(\beta_0, \beta_1, \sigma) = \frac{N}{2}[\log(\sigma^2) + \log(2\pi)] + \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[-D_i \frac{(y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 x_i)^2}{2\sigma^2} + (1 - D_i)\log\left[1 - \Phi\left(\frac{\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i}{\sigma}\right)\right]\right]$$

• Introducing heteroscedasticity in the Log L:

$$L(\beta_0, \beta_1, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, ..., \sigma_N) = \frac{N}{2}\log(2\pi) + \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[D_i[\log(\sigma_i) - \frac{(y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 x_i)^2}{2\sigma_i^2}] + (1 - D_i)\log[1 - \Phi(\frac{\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i}{\sigma_i})]\right]$$

Tobit Model: Heteroscedasticity

- Now, we went from k+1 parameters to k+N parameters. Impossible to estimate with N observations.

- Usual solution: Model heteroscedasticity, dependent on a few parameters: $\sigma_i^2 = \sigma_i(\alpha)$.

Example: Exponential: $\sigma_i^2 = \exp(\mathbf{z}_i'\alpha)$. Then,

$$L(\beta_0, \beta_1, \alpha) = \frac{N}{2}\log(2\pi) +$$
$$+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[D_i[z_i'\alpha - \frac{(y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 x_i)^2}{2\exp(z_i'\alpha)}] + (1 - D_i)\log[1 - \Phi(\frac{\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i}{\sqrt{\exp(z_i'\alpha)}})]\right]$$

- The marginal effects get more complicated under the heteroscedastic Tobit model: an exogenous variable, say income, could impact both numerator and denominator of the standardization ratio, $X_i\beta/\sigma_i$

Heteroscedasticity – Partial Effects

- Partial effects get more complicated under the heteroscedastic Tobit model: an exogenous variable, say income, could impact both numerator, $X_i\beta$, and denominator σ_i. Ambiguous signs are possible.

- Suppose we have w_j affecting both $\mathbf{x}_i$ and $\mathbf{z}_i$. Then,

$$r_i = \frac{x_i'\beta_i}{\sigma_i} = \frac{x_i'\beta_i}{\exp(z_i'\alpha/2)}$$

$$\frac{\partial r_i}{\partial w_{ji}} = \frac{\beta_i\sigma_i - (x_i'\beta_i)(\alpha_i/2)\sigma_i}{\sigma_i^2} = \frac{\beta_i - (x_i'\beta_i)(\alpha_i/2)}{\sigma_i}$$

$$\frac{\partial\Phi(r_i)}{\partial w_{ji}} = \phi(r_i)\frac{\partial r_i}{\partial w_{ji}} = \phi(r_i)\frac{\beta_i - (x_i'\beta_i)(\alpha_i/2)}{\sigma_i}$$

$$\frac{\partial E[y_i \mid y_i > 0]}{\partial w_{ji}} = \beta_i - \sigma_i\frac{\partial r_i}{\partial w_{ji}}\left(r_i\frac{\phi(r_i)}{\Phi(r_i)} + \frac{\phi(r_i)^2}{\Phi(r_i)^2}\right)$$

Heteroscedasticity – Partial Effects - Application

• Canadian FAFH expenditures: 9,767 HH's, 21.2% with $0 expenditures.

• Dependent variable is bi-weekly FAFH expenditures
Exogenous Variables: HHInc, Kids Present?, FullTime? Provincial Dummy Variables.

• $\sigma_i^2 = \exp(\gamma_0 + \gamma_1 \text{Income}_i + \gamma_2 \text{Fulltime}_i + \gamma_3 \text{Quebec}_i)$

Elasticity	Homo.		Hetero.	
	Value	S.E.	Value	S.E
$\Phi(z)$	0.259	0.008	0.210	0.011
E(y|y>0)	0.284	0.009	0.395	0.010
E(y)	0.544	0.017	0.606	0.020

Tobit Model – Type II

• Different ways of thinking about how the latent variable and the observed variable interact produce different Tobit Models.

• The Type I Tobit Model presents a simple relation:

- $y_i = 0$ if $y_i^* = \mathbf{x}_i'\beta + \varepsilon_i \leq 0$
  $= y_i^* = \mathbf{x}_i'\beta + \varepsilon_i$ if $y_i^* = \mathbf{x}_i'\beta + \varepsilon_i > 0$

The effect of the *X*'s on the probability that an observation is censored and the effect on the conditional mean of the non-censored observations are the same: β.

• The Type II Tobit Model presents a more complex relation:

- $y_i = 0$ if $y_i^* = \mathbf{x}_i'\alpha + \varepsilon_{1,i} \leq 0$, $\varepsilon_{1,i} \sim N(0,1)$
  $= \mathbf{x}_i'\beta + \varepsilon_{2,i}$ if $y_i^* = \mathbf{x}_i'\alpha + \varepsilon_{1,i} > 0$, $\varepsilon_{2,i} \sim N(0,\sigma_2^2)$

Now, we have different effects of the *X*'s.

Tobit Model – Type II

• The Type II Tobit Model:

- $y_i = 0$ if $y_i^* = \mathbf{x}_i'\boldsymbol{\alpha} + \varepsilon_{1,i} \leq 0$, $\varepsilon_{1,i} \sim N(0,\sigma_1^2=1)$
  $= \mathbf{x}_i'\boldsymbol{\beta} + \varepsilon_{2,i}$ if $y_i^* = \mathbf{x}_i'\boldsymbol{\alpha} + \varepsilon_{1,i} > 0$, $\varepsilon_{2,i} \sim N(0,\sigma_2^2)$

- A more flexible model. **X** can have an effect on the decision to participate (Probit part) and a different effect on the amount decision (truncated regression).

- Type I is a special case: $\varepsilon_{2,i} = \varepsilon_{1,i}$ and $\boldsymbol{\alpha}=\boldsymbol{\beta}$.

Example: Age affects the decision to donate to charity. But it can have a different effect on the amount donated. We may find that age has a positive effect on the decision to donate, but given a positive donation, younger individuals donate more than older individuals.

Tobit Model – Type II

• The Tobit Model assumes a bivariate normal distribution for $(\varepsilon_{1,i};\varepsilon_{2,i})$; with covariance given by $\sigma_{12}(=\rho\sigma_1\sigma_2.)$.

- Conditional expectation:

$$E[y|y>0,x] = \mathbf{x}_i'\boldsymbol{\beta} + \sigma_{12}\,\lambda(\mathbf{x}_i'\boldsymbol{\alpha})$$

- Unconditional Expectation

$$E[y|x] = Prob(y>0|x) * E[y|y>0, x] + Prob(y=0|x)* 0$$
$$= Prob(y>0|x) * E[y|y>0, x]$$
$$= \Phi(\mathbf{x}_i'\boldsymbol{\alpha}) * [\mathbf{x}_i'\boldsymbol{\beta} + \sigma_{12}\,\lambda(\mathbf{x}_i'\boldsymbol{\alpha})]$$

Note: This model is known as the Heckman selection model, or the Type II Tobit model (Amemiya), or the probit selection model (Wooldridge).