Midterm I: SOLUTIONS

```
1) i) F_{t,180}^{IRP} = S_t [(1 + i_d * 180/360)/(1 + i_f * 90/360)] = 19.33 \text{ MXN/CHF} [(1 + .1053/4)/(1 - .0087/4)] = 19.8821 \text{ MXN/CHF}
ii) Quote by Lozano Bank: F_{t,90} = 20.30 \text{ MXN/CHF} > F_{t,90}^{IRP} = 19.8821 \Rightarrow \text{Yes!}
iii) Covered arbitrage strategy (Key: Lozano Bank undervalues the MXN forward): 1. Borrow MXN 1 at i_{\text{MXN}} = 10.53\% for 90 days. (repay in 90 days MXN 1.026325) 2. Convert MXN 1 to CHF at S_t = 19.33 \text{ MXN/CHF} (get CHF 0.0517) 3. Deposit CHF 0.0517at i_{\text{CHF}} = 0.87\% for 90 days. 4. Sell the CHF forward at F_{t,90} = 20.30 \text{ MXN/CHF} Profits = CHF 0.0517* (1+.0087/4) * 20.30 MXN/CHF – MXN 1.026325.= MXN 0.0255 (or 2.63% per MXN borrowed)
```

2) Solution for Exam A

A. 3-mo changes in % (last 20 years):

⇒ capital flies to CHF

```
\begin{array}{ccc} & & Exam \ A \\ S_t & & \textbf{0.9316} \\ F_{Dec \ 30,Mar \ 30} & \textbf{0.9189} \\ average & -\textbf{0.00454} \\ SD & \textbf{0.04054} \\ min & -\textbf{0.11191} \\ max & \textbf{0.16397} \end{array}
```

<u>Note</u>: Mexican volatility is huge (due to the behavior during the 1980s debt crisis). Some numbers will make no sense!

- (i) Transaction Exposure (TE): USD 50M * 0.9316 CHF/USD = CHF 46.58M
- (ii) Best/Worst Case TE Range: [CHF 41.367M; CHF 54.218M]
- (iii) Normal-based 95% C.I. for TE: [CHF 46.58 M * (1 + (-0.00454 - 1.96 * 0.04054)); CHF 46.58 M * (1 + (-0.00454 + 1.96 * 0.04054)) = [CHF 42.668M; CHF 50.070M]
- (vi) We need 12 month Var(99%), given that we have 3-mo data, we adjust data by 4. $VaR(99\%) = CHF \ 46.58 \ M \ * (1+ ((-0.00454 * 4 2.33 * 0.04054 * sqrt(4)))] = CHF \ 38.332M$

VaR(99%)-mean = CHF 38.332M - CHF 46.58 M = CHF -8.248 M

B. $F_{t,3-mo}^{IRP} = S_t[(1 + i_d * 90/360)/(1 + i_f * 90/360)] = 0.9316 \text{ CHF/USD} [1 - .0087/4)/(1 + .0425/4)]$ = 0.9198 CHF/USD

Amount to be received = USD 50M * 0.9198 CHF/USD = CHF 45.944 M

C. Buy the **0.9210 CHF/USD** put \Rightarrow Total premium: CHF **0.01842** * 50M = CHF **0.921M** Adding the opportunity cost: CHF **0.921M** * (1 - .0075*90/360) = CHF **0.9192731**

$$\begin{split} &\text{If S}_{\text{Mar }30} < 0.9210 \text{ CHF/USD} \Rightarrow \text{Net Amt} = \text{USD }50\text{M} * 0.9210 \text{ CHF/USD} \\ &- \text{CHF } 0.9192731 = \text{CHF }45.13073 \text{ M} \\ &\text{If S}_{\text{Mar }30} > 0.9210 \text{ CHF/USD} \Rightarrow \text{Net Amount} = \text{USD }50\text{M} * \text{S}_{\text{Mar }30} - \text{CHF }0.9192731 \end{split}$$

D. Check notes.

E.
$$\underline{F}_{\text{Feb }28,\text{Mar }30} = \textbf{0.96 CHF/USD} * (1+.009*30/360)/(1+.045*30/360) = 0.9571 \text{ CHF/USD}$$

Value of forward contract = $\underline{F}_{\text{Dec }30,\text{Mar }30} - \underline{F}_{\text{Feb }28,\text{Mar }30} = (\textbf{0.9189} - 0.9571) = \text{CHF } -\textbf{0.03817}$
 $[1+i_{\text{CHF}}*(T/360)]$ $[1+.009*(30/360)]$

Total value of Forward position (HP) = 50M * (-0.03817) = CHF - 1.91 M

- 3) Check lecture notes for graphs and diagrams.
- (A) Higher interest rates: $i_{USD} \uparrow$

Now, U.S. T-bills more attractive than Thai T-bills.

Both supply and demand curves move. \Rightarrow (i_{THB} - i_{USD}) $\downarrow \Rightarrow$ S_t (THB/USD) \uparrow (THB depreciates)

(B) Higher inflation rates: (I_{THB} - I_{USD}) ↑

U.S. goods more attractive than Thai goods.

Both supply and demand curves move. \Rightarrow S_t (THB/USD) \(\gamma\) (THB depreciates)

(C) We follow from (A). CBT sells USD (& receives THB).

FX Mkt effect: S_t (THB/USD) ↓ (USD depreciates against THB).

Thai Money Mkt effect: Interest rates in Thailand increase.

(D) CBT sells USD (which were invested in USD T-bills, yielding 5%) and receives THB (which they likely invest in Thai T-bills yielding 1.75%). Likely a small to medium effect.

4) Exam A - Regression

SUMMARY OUTPUT

Regression Statistics			
Multiple R	0.124921		

R Square	0.015605
Adjusted R	
Square	0.008072
Standard Error	0.025407
Observations	396

ANOVA

	df	SS	MS
Regression	3	0.004011	0.001337
Residual	392	0.253044	0.000646
Total	395	0.257055	

		Standard	
	Coefficients	Error	t Stat
Constant	8.51E-05	0.001552	0.054808
$(I_{DC} - I_{US})_t$	0.879727	0.36015	2.442665
$(i_{DC}-i_{US})_t$	-0.02361	0.081074	-0.29123
$(m_{DC}-m_{US})_t$	0.004829	0.009151	0.527629

- (ii) Higher interest differential, appreciates DC ($s_t \uparrow$) \Rightarrow Not consistent with IFE (should be positive).
- (iii) t-stat(alpha) = 8.51E-05/0.001552 = 0.054808 <= cannot reject alpha=0 t-stat(beta) = [0.879727-1]/0.36015 = -0.3340 (|t-stat| < 1.96) <= cannot reject beta=1
- \Rightarrow We cannot reject PPP.
- (iv) MSE = 0.00025
- (v) MSE = 0.00021
- (vii) Lowest forecast is the RW => Q1 2023 Forecast: **0.9316 CHF/USD**

BONUS

Exam A – ABB EE

Regression ABB returns against 3-FF factors and changes in FX rates.

Dates: 2001 - 2022

The coefficient of s is not significant (|t = -1.0623| < 1.96) => No EE!

-		Standard		
	Coefficients	Error	t Stat	P-value
Intercept	0.018524	0.013967	1.326251	0.185939
Mkt-RF	-1.20185	2.238583	-0.53688	0.591816
HML	0.705653	0.944337	0.747248	0.4556
SMB	-0.05138	0.050633	-1.01482	0.31115