

## Lecture 7-c GLS & FGLS

Brooks (4<sup>th</sup> edition): Chapter 5

© R. Susmel, 2020 (for private use, not to be posted/shared online).<sup>1</sup>

### Review – Generalized Regression Model

- Now, we go back to the CLM Assumptions:

(A1) DGP:  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$  is correctly specified.

(A2)  $E[\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} | \mathbf{X}] = \mathbf{0}$

(A3')  $\text{Var}[\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} | \mathbf{X}] = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}$  (sometimes written  $\text{Var}[\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} | \mathbf{X}] = \sigma^2\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ )

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1^2 & \sigma_{12} & \cdots & \sigma_{1T} \\ \sigma_{21} & \sigma_2^2 & \cdots & \sigma_{2T} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sigma_{T1} & \sigma_{T2} & \cdots & \sigma_T^2 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{-a } (T \times T) \text{ symmetric matrix}$$

(A4)  $\mathbf{X}$  has full column rank –  $\text{rank}(\mathbf{X}) = k$  –, where  $T \geq k$ .

- This is the generalized regression model (GRM).
- OLS is still unbiased (& consistent). Can we still use OLS?

### Review – GRM: True Variance for $\mathbf{b}$

- Now, we have  $(\mathbf{A3}')$   $\text{Var}[\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} | \mathbf{X}] = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}$
- The true variance of  $\mathbf{b}$  under  $(\mathbf{A3}')$  should be:

$$\text{Var}_T[\mathbf{b} | \mathbf{X}] = (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}'\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\mathbf{X} (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}$$

**Example:** We compute the true variance for the simplest case, a regression with only one explanatory variable and heteroscedastic  $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ :

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}, \quad \varepsilon_i \sim D(0, \sigma_i^2)$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Var}_T[\mathbf{b} | \mathbf{X}] = \left( \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^T (x_i - \bar{x})^2} \right)^2 \sum_{i=1}^T \sigma_i^2 (x_i - \bar{x})^2.$$

If we compute the OLS variance, we see how both estimators differ:

$$\text{Var}[\mathbf{b} | \mathbf{X}] = \frac{\sigma^2}{\sum_{i=1}^T (x_i - \bar{x})^2} \neq \text{Var}_T[\mathbf{b} | \mathbf{X}].$$

### Review – GRM: True Variance for $\mathbf{b}$

- Under  $(\mathbf{A3}')$ , the OLS estimator of  $\text{Var}[\mathbf{b} | \mathbf{X}] = s^2 (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}$  is *biased*.
- If we want to use OLS for inferences (say, with *t-test* or *F-test*), we need to estimate  $\text{Var}_T[\mathbf{b} | \mathbf{X}]$ .
- That is, we need to estimate the unknown  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ . But, it has  $T^*(T+1)/2$  parameters. Too many parameters to estimate with  $T$  observations!
- We will not be estimating  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ . Impossible with  $T$  data points.
- We will estimate  $\mathbf{X}'\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\mathbf{X} = \sum_{i=1}^T \sum_{j=1}^T \sigma_{ij} \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_j'$ , a  $(k \times k)$  matrix. That is, we are estimating  $[k^*(k+1)]/2$  elements.

## Review – GRM: Robust Covariance Matrix

- This distinction is very important in modern applied econometrics:
  - The White estimator
  - The Newey-West estimator

- Both estimators produce a *consistent* estimator of  $\text{Var}_T[\mathbf{b} | \mathbf{X}]$ :

$$\text{Var}_T[\mathbf{b} | \mathbf{X}] = (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}'\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\mathbf{X} (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}$$

Since  $\mathbf{b}$  consistently estimates  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ , the OLS residuals,  $\mathbf{e}$ , are also consistent estimators of  $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ . We use  $\mathbf{e}$  to consistently estimate  $\mathbf{X}'\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\mathbf{X}$ .

In practice, we use  $w_i (= x_i e_i)$  to estimate  $\mathbf{X}'\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\mathbf{X}$ .

## Review – GRM: The Newey-West Estimator

- The White estimator simplifies the estimation since it only assumes heteroscedasticity. Then,  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$  is a diagonal matrix, with elements  $\sigma_i^2$ .

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1^2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_2^2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \sigma_T^2 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{-a } (T \times T) \text{ matrix}$$

Thus, we need to estimate:  $\mathbf{Q}^* = (1/T) \mathbf{X}'\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\mathbf{X}$  -a  $(k \times k)$  matrix

- We use  $e_i^2$  to estimate  $\sigma_i^2$ . That is,

we estimate  $\mathbf{Q}^* = (1/T) \sum_{i=1}^T \sigma_i^2 \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i'$

with  $\mathbf{S}_0 = (1/T) \sum_{i=1}^T e_i^2 \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i'$

### Review – GRM: The Newey-West Estimator

- Newey-West allow for both heteroscedasticity and autocorrelation.

$$(A3') \text{Var}[\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} | \mathbf{X}] = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1^2 & \sigma_{12} & \cdots & \sigma_{1T} \\ \sigma_{21} & \sigma_2^2 & \cdots & \sigma_{2T} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sigma_{T1} & \sigma_{T2} & \cdots & \sigma_T^2 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{-a } (T \times T) \text{ matrix}$$

Now, we need to estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Q}^* &= (1/T) \mathbf{X}'\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\mathbf{X} = (1/T) \sum_{i=1}^T \sum_{j=1}^T \sigma_{ij} \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_j' \\ &= (1/T) \sum_{i=1}^T \{ \sigma_{i1} \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_1 + \sigma_{i2} \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_2 + \cdots + \sigma_{iT} \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_T \} \end{aligned}$$

- Newey and West (1987) follow White (1980) to produce a HAC (*Heteroscedasticity and Autocorrelation Consistent*) estimator of  $\mathbf{Q}^*$ :

$$\mathbf{S}_T = (1/T) \sum_{i=1}^T \sum_{j=1}^T \mathbf{e}_i \mathbf{e}_j \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_j'$$

### Review – GRM: The Newey-West Estimator

- Newey and West (1987) estimator of  $\mathbf{Q}^*$ :

$$\mathbf{S}_T = (1/T) \sum_{i=1}^T \sum_{j=1}^T \mathbf{e}_i \mathbf{e}_j \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_j'$$

Then,

$$\text{Est. Var}[\mathbf{b}] = (1/T) (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}/T)^{-1} \mathbf{S}_T (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}/T)^{-1}$$

**Example:** Back to the simplest case, a regression with only one explanatory variable, but now with a heteroscedastic and autocorrelated error term. We estimate the “true” variance of  $\mathbf{b}$  with:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}_T[\mathbf{b} | \mathbf{X}] &= \left( \frac{1}{\sum_i^T (x_i - \bar{x})^2} \right)^2 \{ \sum_{i=1}^T \mathbf{e}_i^2 (x_i - \bar{x})^2 + \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^T \sum_{j=i+1}^T (x_i - \bar{x}) \mathbf{e}_i \mathbf{e}_j (x_j - \bar{x}) \} \end{aligned}$$

We add the sum of the autocovariances of  $w_i (= x_i \mathbf{e}_i)$  to the White estimator of  $\mathbf{X}'\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\mathbf{X}$ . If (auto-) covar( $w_i, w_j$ ) are positive, the NW estimator will be bigger than the White one. A common case.

### Review – GRM: The Newey-West Estimator

- Two components for the NW HAC estimator:

(1) Start with Heteroscedasticity Component:

$$\mathbf{S}_0 = (1/T) \sum_{i=1}^T e_i^2 \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i' \quad \text{– the White estimator.}$$

(2) Add the Autocorrelation Component, cutting sum short with  $L$ .

$$\mathbf{S}_T = \mathbf{S}_0 + \frac{1}{T} \sum_{l=1}^L k(l) \sum_{t=l+1}^T (\mathbf{x}_{t-l} e_{t-l} e_t \mathbf{x}_t' + \mathbf{x}_t e_t e_{t-l} \mathbf{x}_{t-l}')$$

where

$$k\left(\frac{j}{L(T)}\right) = \frac{L+1-|j|}{L+1} \quad \text{–decaying weights (Bartlett kernel)}$$

$L$  is the cut-off lag, which is a function of  $T$ . (More data, longer  $L$ ).

The weights are linearly decaying, suppose  $L = 12$ . Then,

$$k(1) = 12/13 = 0.92308$$

$$k(2) = 11/13 = 0.84615$$

$$k(3) = 10/13 = 0.76923$$

$$k\left(\frac{j}{L(T)}\right) = \frac{13 - |j|}{13}$$

### Review – GRM: The Newey-West Estimator

$$\mathbf{S}_T = \mathbf{S}_0 + (1/T) \sum_{l=1}^L k(l) \sum_{t=l+1}^T (\mathbf{x}_{t-l} e_{t-l} e_t \mathbf{x}_t' + \mathbf{x}_t e_t e_{t-l} \mathbf{x}_{t-l}')$$

Then,

$$\text{Est. Var}_T[\mathbf{b} | \mathbf{X}] = (1/T) (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}/T)^{-1} \mathbf{S}_T (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}/T)^{-1} \quad \text{–NW's HAC Var.}$$

- Asymptotic inferences can be based on OLS  $\mathbf{b}$  & Est.  $\text{Var}_T[\mathbf{b} | \mathbf{X}]$ .

We can use the usual tests and distributions.

**Example:** Back to the simplest case, a regression with only one explanatory variable, but with a heteroscedastic and autocorrelated error term. Suppose we set  $L = 12$ , then:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}_T[\mathbf{b} | \mathbf{X}] = & \left( \frac{1}{\sum_i^T (x_i - \bar{x})^2} \right)^2 \left\{ \sum_{t=1}^T e_t^2 (x_t - \bar{x})^2 + \right. \\ & \left. + \sum_{l=1}^{L=12} \left\{ \frac{13-|l|}{13} \right\} \sum_{t=l+1}^T (x_t - \bar{x}) e_t e_{t-l} (x_{t-l} - \bar{x}) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

To compute  $\mathbf{S}_T$ , we only add **12** autocovariances of  $w_t (= x_t e_t)$  to the White estimator,  $\mathbf{S}_0$ .

## Review – GRM: The Newey-West Estimator

- NW SEs are used almost universally in academia. However:
  - NW SEs perform poorly in Monte Carlo simulations:
  - NW SEs tend to be downward biased –i.e., too small.
  - The finite-sample performance of tests using NW SE is not well approximated by the asymptotic theory.
  - Tests have size distortions.
- Q: What happens if we know the specific form of  $(\mathbf{A3}')$ ?  
 We can do much better than using OLS with NW SEs. In this case, we can do Generalized LS (GLS), a method that delivers the most efficient estimators.

## Generalized Least Squares (GLS)

- GRM: Assumptions  $(\mathbf{A1})$ ,  $(\mathbf{A2})$ ,  $(\mathbf{A3}')$  &  $(\mathbf{A4})$  hold. That is,
  - $(\mathbf{A1})$  DGP:  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$  is correctly specified.
  - $(\mathbf{A2})$   $E[\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} | \mathbf{X}] = \mathbf{0}$
  - $(\mathbf{A3}')$   $\text{Var}[\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} | \mathbf{X}] = \boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \sigma^2 \boldsymbol{\Omega}$  ( $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$  is symmetric  $\Rightarrow \mathbf{T}'\mathbf{T} = \boldsymbol{\Omega}$ )
  - $(\mathbf{A4})$   $\mathbf{X}$  has full column rank –i.e.,  $\text{rank}(\mathbf{X}) = k$ –, where  $T \geq k$ .
- Suppose we know the form of  $(\mathbf{A3}')$ ? We can use this information to gain efficiency.
- When we know  $(\mathbf{A3}')$ , we transform  $\mathbf{y}$  &  $\mathbf{X}$ , in such a way, that we can do again OLS with the transformed data.

To do this transformation, we exploit a property of symmetric matrices, like the variance-covariance matrix,  $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ :

$$\boldsymbol{\Omega} \text{ is symmetric} \Rightarrow \text{exists } \mathbf{T} \ni \mathbf{T}'\mathbf{T} = \boldsymbol{\Omega} \Rightarrow \mathbf{T}'^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Omega} \mathbf{T}^{-1} = \mathbf{I}$$

## Generalized Least Squares (GLS)

Note:  $\Omega$  can be decomposed as

$$\Omega = \mathbf{T}' \mathbf{T} \quad (\text{think of } \mathbf{T} \text{ as } \Omega^{1/2}) \quad \Rightarrow \mathbf{T}'^{-1} \Omega \mathbf{T}^{-1} = \mathbf{I}$$

- We transform the linear model in (A1) using  $\mathbf{P} = \Omega^{-1/2}$  ( $= \mathbf{T}^{-1}$ ).

$$\mathbf{P} = \Omega^{-1/2} \quad \Rightarrow \mathbf{P}'\mathbf{P} = \Omega^{-1}$$

$$\mathbf{P}\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{P}\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{P}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \quad \text{or}$$

$$\mathbf{y}^* = \mathbf{X}^*\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^*.$$

$$\begin{aligned} E[\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^*\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{*\prime} | \mathbf{X}^*] &= E[\mathbf{P}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}'\mathbf{P}' | \mathbf{X}^*] = \mathbf{P} E[\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}' | \mathbf{X}] \mathbf{P}' = \sigma^2 \mathbf{P} \Omega \mathbf{P}' \\ &= \sigma^2 \Omega^{-1/2} \Omega \Omega^{-1/2} = \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_T \quad \Rightarrow \text{back to (A3)} \end{aligned}$$

- The transformed model is homoscedastic: We have the CLM framework back  $\Rightarrow$  we can use OLS!

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{b}_{\text{GLS}} &= \mathbf{b}^* = (\mathbf{X}^{*\prime} \mathbf{X}^*)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{*\prime} \mathbf{y}^* \\ &= (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{P}'\mathbf{P}\mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{P}'\mathbf{P}\mathbf{y} \quad (\mathbf{P}'\mathbf{P} = \Omega^{-1}) \\ &= (\mathbf{X}'\Omega^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}'\Omega^{-1}\mathbf{y} \end{aligned}$$

## Generalized Least Squares (GLS)

Remarks:

- The transformed model is homoscedastic:

$$\text{Var}[\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^* | \mathbf{X}^*] = E[\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^*\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{*\prime} | \mathbf{X}^*] = \mathbf{P} E[\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}' | \mathbf{X}] \mathbf{P}' = \sigma^2 \mathbf{P} \Omega \mathbf{P}' = \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_T$$

- We have the CLM framework back: We do OLS with the transformed model, we call this OLS estimator, the GLS estimator:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{b}_{\text{GLS}} &= \mathbf{b}^* = (\mathbf{X}^{*\prime} \mathbf{X}^*)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{*\prime} \mathbf{y}^* = (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{P}'\mathbf{P}\mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{P}'\mathbf{P}\mathbf{y} \\ &= (\mathbf{X}'\Omega^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}'\Omega^{-1}\mathbf{y} \end{aligned}$$

- Key assumption:  $\Omega$  is known, and, thus,  $\mathbf{P}$  is also known; otherwise we cannot transformed the model.

- Big Question: Is  $\Omega$  known?

Alexander C. Aitken (1895 –1967, NZ)



## Generalized Least Squares (GLS)

- The GLS estimator is:

$$\mathbf{b}_{\text{GLS}} = (\mathbf{X}'\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}'\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1} \mathbf{y}$$

Note I:  $\mathbf{b}_{\text{GLS}} \neq \mathbf{b}$ .  $\mathbf{b}_{\text{GLS}}$  is BLUE by construction,  $\mathbf{b}$  is not.

- Check unbiasedness:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{b}_{\text{GLS}} &= (\mathbf{X}'\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}'\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1} \mathbf{y} = (\mathbf{X}'\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}'\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1} (\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \\ &= \boldsymbol{\beta} + (\mathbf{X}'\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}'\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \\ E[\mathbf{b}_{\text{GLS}} | \mathbf{X}] &= \boldsymbol{\beta} \end{aligned}$$

- Efficient Variance

$\mathbf{b}_{\text{GLS}}$  is BLUE. The “best” variance can be derived from

$$\text{Var}[\mathbf{b}_{\text{GLS}} | \mathbf{X}] = \sigma^2 (\mathbf{X}^* \mathbf{X}^*)^{-1} = \sigma^2 (\mathbf{X}'\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1}$$

Then, the usual OLS variance for  $\mathbf{b}$  is biased and inefficient!

## Generalized Least Squares (GLS) - Properties

Note II: Both unbiased and consistent. In practice, both estimators will be different, but not that different. If they are very different, something is not kosher.

- Steps for GLS:

**Step 1.** Find transformation matrix  $\mathbf{P} = \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1/2}$  (in the case of heteroscedasticity,  $\mathbf{P}$  is a diagonal matrix).

**Step 2.** Transform the model:  $\mathbf{X}^* = \mathbf{P}\mathbf{X}$  &  $\mathbf{y}^* = \mathbf{P}\mathbf{y}$ .

**Step 3.** Do GLS; that is, OLS with the transformed variables.

- Key step to do GLS: **Step 1**, getting the transformation matrix:

$$\mathbf{P} = \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1/2}.$$



## GLS – Relaxing Assumptions (A2) & (A4)

Technical detail: If we relax the CLM assumptions (A2) and (A4), as we did in Lecture 7-a, we only have asymptotic properties for GLS:

- Consistency - “*well behaved data*.”
- Asymptotic distribution under usual assumptions.  
(easy for heteroscedasticity, complicated for autocorrelation.)
- Wald tests and  $F$ -tests with usual asymptotic  $\chi^2$  distributions.

## (Weighted) GLS: Pure Heteroscedasticity

- Find the transformation matrix  $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{\Omega}^{-1/2}$ .

$$(A3') \text{Var}[\varepsilon] = \mathbf{\Sigma} = \sigma^2 \mathbf{\Omega} = \sigma^2 \begin{bmatrix} \omega_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \omega_2 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \omega_T \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{\Omega}^{-1/2} = \mathbf{P} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/\sqrt{\omega_1} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1/\sqrt{\omega_2} & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1/\sqrt{\omega_T} \end{bmatrix}$$

- Now, transform  $\mathbf{y}$  &  $\mathbf{X}$ :

$$\mathbf{y}^* = \mathbf{P}\mathbf{y} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/\sqrt{\omega_1} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1/\sqrt{\omega_2} & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1/\sqrt{\omega_T} \end{bmatrix} * \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_T \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} y_1/\sqrt{\omega_1} \\ y_2/\sqrt{\omega_2} \\ \vdots \\ y_T/\sqrt{\omega_T} \end{bmatrix}$$

**(Weighted) GLS: Pure Heteroscedasticity**

- Each observation of  $y$ ,  $y_i$ , is divided by  $\sqrt{\omega_i}$ . Similar transformation occurs with  $\mathbf{X}$ :

$$\mathbf{X}^* = \mathbf{P}\mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/\sqrt{\omega_1} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1/\sqrt{\omega_2} & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1/\sqrt{\omega_T} \end{bmatrix} * \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_{21} & \dots & x_{k1} \\ 1 & x_{22} & \dots & x_{k2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_{2T} & \dots & x_{kT} \end{bmatrix} =$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1/\sqrt{\omega_1} & x_{21}/\sqrt{\omega_1} & \dots & x_{k1}/\sqrt{\omega_1} \\ 1/\sqrt{\omega_2} & x_{22}/\sqrt{\omega_2} & \dots & x_{k2}/\sqrt{\omega_2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ 1/\sqrt{\omega_T} & x_{2T}/\sqrt{\omega_T} & \dots & x_{kT}/\sqrt{\omega_T} \end{bmatrix}$$

- Now, we can do OLS with the transformed variables:

$$\mathbf{b}_{\text{GLS}} = \mathbf{b}^* = (\mathbf{X}^* \mathbf{X}^*)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^* \mathbf{y}^* = (\mathbf{X}' \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}' \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1} \mathbf{y}$$

**(Weighted) GLS: Pure Heteroscedasticity**

- In the case of heteroscedasticity, GLS is also called *Weighted Least Squares* (WLS): Think of  $1/\sqrt{\omega_i}$  as weights. The GLS estimator is:

$$\mathbf{b}_{\text{GLS}} = (\mathbf{X}' \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}' \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1} \mathbf{y} = \left( \sum_{i=1}^T \frac{1}{\omega_i} \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i' \right)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^T \frac{1}{\omega_i} \mathbf{x}_i y_i$$

Observations with lower (bigger) variances –i.e., lower (bigger)  $\omega_i$ – are given higher (lower) weights in the sums:

More precise observations, more weight!

- The GLS variance is given by:

$$\hat{\sigma}_{\text{GLS}}^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^T \left( \frac{y_i - \mathbf{x}_i' \mathbf{b}_{\text{GLS}}}{\omega_i} \right)^2}{T - k}$$

**(Weighted) GLS: Pure Heteroscedasticity**

**Example:** Last Lecture, we found that squared market returns ( $\text{Mkt\_RF}^2$ ) influence the heteroscedasticity in DIS returns. Suppose we assume:  $(\mathbf{A3}') \sigma_i^2 = (r_{m,t} - r_f)^2$ .

Steps for GLS:

1. Find transformation matrix,  $\mathbf{P}$ , with  $i^{\text{th}}$  diagonal element:  $1/\sqrt{\sigma_i^2}$
2. Transform model: Each  $\mathbf{y}_i$  and  $\mathbf{x}_i$  is divided (“weighted”) by  $\sigma_i = \text{sqrt}[(r_{m,t} - r_f)^2]$ .
3. Do GLS, that is, OLS with transformed variables.

```
T <- length(dis_x)
Mkt_RF2 <- Mkt_RF^2 # (A3')
y_w <- dis_x/sqrt(Mkt_RF2) # transformed y = y*
x0 <- matrix(1,T,1)
xx_w <- cbind(x0, Mkt_RF, SMB, HML)/sqrt(Mkt_RF2) # transformed X = X*
fit_dis_wls <- lm(y_w ~ xx_w - 1) # GLS
```

**(Weighted) GLS: Pure Heteroscedasticity**

**Example (continue):**

```
> summary(fit_dis_wls)
```

Call:

```
lm(formula = y_w ~ xx_w)
```

Residuals:

```
Min 1Q Median 3Q Max
-59.399 -0.891 0.316 1.503 77.434
```

Coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(>  t )	
xx_w	-0.006607	0.001586	-4.165	3.59e-05 ***	
xx_wMkt_RF	<b>1.588057</b>	0.334771	4.744	2.66e-06 ***	⇒ OLS b: <b>1.26056</b>
xx_wSMB	-0.200423	0.067498	-2.969	0.00311 **	⇒ OLS b: <b>-0.028993</b>
xx_wHML	-0.042032	0.072821	-0.577	0.56404	⇒ OLS b: <b>0.174545</b>

---  
Signif. codes: 0 '\*\*\*' 0.001 '\*\*' 0.01 '\*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

```
Residual standard error: 7.984 on 566 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared: 0.09078, Adjusted R-squared: 0.08435
F-statistic: 14.13 on 4 and 566 DF, p-value: 5.366e-11
```

### GLS: First-order Autocorrelation Case

- We assume an AR(1) process for the  $\varepsilon_t$ :

$$\varepsilon_t = \rho \varepsilon_{t-1} + u_t, \quad u_t: \text{uncorrelated error (WN)} \sim D(0, \sigma_u^2)$$

- We need to find the transformation matrix  $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{\Omega}^{-1/2}$  for:

$$(\mathbf{A3}') \text{Var}[\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}] = \boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \sigma^2 \mathbf{\Omega} = \sigma^2 \begin{bmatrix} \omega & \omega_{12} & \dots & \omega_{1T} \\ \omega_{21} & \omega & \dots & \omega_{2T} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \omega_{T1} & \omega_{T2} & \dots & \omega \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $\sigma^2 \omega_{ik} = \sigma_{ij} = E[\varepsilon_i \varepsilon_j] = \sigma^2 \omega_{ij}$ . ( $= \gamma_{l=i-j}$ )

$\sigma^2 \omega = \sigma_{ii} = \text{Var}[\varepsilon_i]$  (homoscedasticity, constant for all  $i$ .)

Notation: We use  $\gamma_l$  to denote a (auto-) *covariance* between two observations separated by  $l$  periods. For example, when  $l=1$ :

$$\gamma_1 = \sigma_{21} = \sigma_{32} = \dots = \sigma_{T(T-1)} = \text{Cov}[\varepsilon_t, \varepsilon_{t-1}] = E[\varepsilon_t \varepsilon_{t-1}]$$

### GLS: First-order Autocorrelation Case

- Steps for GLS:

1. To find the transformation matrix  $\mathbf{P}$ , we need to derive the implied  $(\mathbf{A3}')$  based on the AR(1) process for  $\varepsilon_t$ :

(1) Find diagonal elements of  $\mathbf{\Omega}$ :  $\text{Var}[\varepsilon_t] = \sigma_{tt} = \sigma_\varepsilon^2$

$$\varepsilon_t = \rho \varepsilon_{t-1} + u_t \quad \text{-autoregressive AR(1) form.}$$

We take variances on both sides –i.e.,  $E[\varepsilon_t^2]$ :

$$\text{Var}[\varepsilon_t] = \rho^2 \text{Var}[\varepsilon_{t-1}] + \text{Var}[u_t] \quad (\text{Var}[\varepsilon_t] = \text{Var}[\varepsilon_{t-1}] = \sigma_\varepsilon^2)$$

$$\Rightarrow \sigma_\varepsilon^2 = \frac{\sigma_u^2}{(1-\rho^2)} \quad \text{—we need to assume } |\rho| < 1.$$

Notation: Using the  $\gamma_l$  time series notation for (auto-) covariances:

$$\gamma_0 = \sigma_\varepsilon^2 = E[\varepsilon_t \varepsilon_t] = \text{Var}[\varepsilon_t] = \sigma_u^2 / (1 - \rho^2).$$

$$\gamma_l = \sigma_{ij} = \text{Cov}[\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_j] = E[\varepsilon_i \varepsilon_j] \quad l = i - j$$

### GLS: AR(1) Case – Autocovariances

(2) Find off-diagonal elements  $\sigma_{ij} = \gamma_l$  (autocovariance  $\gamma_l$  at lag  $l = j - i$ ). It measures how two errors separated in time by  $l$  periods covary:

$$\sigma_{ij} = \gamma_l = \text{Cov}[\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_j] = E[\varepsilon_i \varepsilon_j] \quad l = i - j$$

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_1 &= \text{Cov}[\varepsilon_t, \varepsilon_{t-1}] = E[(\rho\varepsilon_{t-1} + u_t) \varepsilon_{t-1}] \\ &= \rho E[\varepsilon_{t-1} \varepsilon_{t-1}] + E[u_t \varepsilon_{t-1}] \\ &= \rho \text{Var}[\varepsilon_{t-1}] = \rho \sigma_\varepsilon^2 \\ &= \rho \gamma_0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_2 &= \text{Cov}[\varepsilon_t, \varepsilon_{t-2}] = E[(\rho\varepsilon_{t-1} + u_t) \varepsilon_{t-2}] \\ &= \rho E[\varepsilon_{t-1} \varepsilon_{t-2}] + E[u_t \varepsilon_{t-2}] \\ &= \rho \text{Cov}[\varepsilon_t, \varepsilon_{t-1}] \\ &= \rho \gamma_1 \\ &= \rho^2 \gamma_0 \end{aligned}$$

### GLS: AR(1) Case – Autocovariances

$$\gamma_2 = \rho \gamma_1 = \rho^2 \gamma_0$$

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_3 &= \text{Cov}[\varepsilon_t, \varepsilon_{t-3}] = E[(\rho\varepsilon_{t-1} + u_t) \varepsilon_{t-3}] \\ &= \rho E[\varepsilon_{t-1} \varepsilon_{t-3}] + E[u_t \varepsilon_{t-3}] \\ &= \rho \text{Cov}[\varepsilon_t, \varepsilon_{t-2}] = \rho \gamma_2 \\ &= \rho^2 \gamma_1 \\ &= \rho^3 \gamma_0 \end{aligned}$$

⋮

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_l &= \text{Cov}[\varepsilon_t, \varepsilon_{t-l}] = \rho^{l-1} \gamma_1 && (\gamma_1 = \rho \gamma_0) \\ &= \rho^l \gamma_0 \end{aligned}$$

• We defined:  $\gamma_0 = \sigma_\varepsilon^2 = \frac{\sigma_u^2}{(1-\rho^2)}$ ,

$$\Rightarrow \gamma_l = \rho^l \frac{\sigma_u^2}{(1-\rho^2)}$$

**GLS: AR(1) Case – Autocorrelation Matrix  $\Sigma$** 

- Now, we get **(A3')**  $\Sigma = \sigma^2 \Omega$ .

$$\text{(A3')} \quad \sigma^2 \Omega = \left( \frac{\sigma_u^2}{1-\rho^2} \right) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \rho & \rho^2 & \dots & \rho^{T-1} \\ \rho & 1 & \rho & \dots & \rho^{T-2} \\ \rho^2 & \rho & 1 & \dots & \rho^{T-3} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \rho^{T-1} & \rho^{T-2} & \rho^{T-3} & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

- Then, we can get the transformation matrix  $\mathbf{P} = \Omega^{-1/2}$ :

$$\Omega^{-1/2} = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{1-\rho^2} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ -\rho & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & -\rho & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\rho & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

**GLS: AR(1) Case – Transformed  $\mathbf{y}$  &  $\mathbf{X}$ :  $\mathbf{y}^*$  &  $\mathbf{X}^*$** 

2. With  $\mathbf{P} = \Omega^{-1/2}$ , we transform the data to do GLS.

$$\mathbf{P} = \Omega^{-1/2} = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{1-\rho^2} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ -\rho & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & -\rho & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\rho & 0 \end{bmatrix} * \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \\ \vdots \\ y_T \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{y}^* = \mathbf{P} \mathbf{y} = \begin{pmatrix} (\sqrt{1-\rho^2})y_1 \\ y_2 - \rho y_1 \\ y_3 - \rho y_2 \\ \dots \\ y_T - \rho y_{T-1} \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \text{GLS: Transformed } \mathbf{y}^*.$$

### GLS: AR(1) Case – Transformed $\mathbf{y}$ & $\mathbf{X}$ : $\mathbf{y}^*$ & $\mathbf{X}^*$

2. Transformed  $\mathbf{x}_k$  column (independent variable  $k$ ) of matrix  $\mathbf{X}$  is:

$$\mathbf{P} = \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1/2} = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{1-\rho^2} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ -\rho & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & -\rho & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\rho & 0 \end{bmatrix} * \begin{bmatrix} x_{k1} \\ x_{k2} \\ x_{k3} \\ \vdots \\ x_{kT} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{x}_k^* = \mathbf{P} \mathbf{x}_k = \begin{pmatrix} (\sqrt{1-\rho^2}) x_{k1} \\ x_{k2} - \rho x_{k1} \\ x_{k3} - \rho x_{k2} \\ \dots \\ x_{kT} - \rho x_{kT-1} \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \text{GLS: Transformed } \mathbf{X}^*.$$

3. GLS is done with transformed data. In **(A3')** we assume  $\rho$  known.

### GLS: The Autoregressive Transformation

- With AR models, sometimes it is easier to transform the data by taking *pseudo differences*.
- For the AR(1) model, we multiply the DGP by  $\rho$  and subtract it from it. That is,

$$\begin{aligned} y_t &= \mathbf{x}_t' \boldsymbol{\beta} + \varepsilon_t, & \varepsilon_t &= \rho \varepsilon_{t-1} + u_t \\ \rho y_{t-1} &= \rho \mathbf{x}_{t-1}' \boldsymbol{\beta} + \rho \varepsilon_{t-1} \end{aligned}$$

---


$$\begin{aligned} y_t - \rho y_{t-1} &= (\mathbf{x}_t - \rho \mathbf{x}_{t-1})' \boldsymbol{\beta} + (\varepsilon_t - \rho \varepsilon_{t-1}) \\ \mathbf{y}_t^* &= \mathbf{x}_t^* \boldsymbol{\beta} + u_t \end{aligned}$$

Now, we have the errors,  $u_t$ , which are uncorrelated. We can do OLS with the pseudo differences.

Note:  $\mathbf{y}_t^* = y_t - \rho y_{t-1}$  &  $\mathbf{x}_t^* = \mathbf{x}_t - \rho \mathbf{x}_{t-1}$  are *pseudo differences*.

### FGLS: Unknown $\Omega$

- The problem with GLS is that  $\Omega$  is unknown. For example, in the AR(1) case,  $\rho$  is unknown.

- Solution: Estimate  $\Omega$ .  $\Rightarrow$  *Feasible GLS* (FGLS).

- In general, there are two approaches for GLS:

- (1) Two-step, or *Feasible estimation*:
  - First, estimate  $\Omega$  first.
  - Second, do GLS.

Technical note: Nice asymptotic properties for FGLS estimator. Not longer BLUE.

- (2) ML estimation of  $\beta$ ,  $\sigma^2$ , and  $\Omega$  at the same time (joint estimation of all parameters). With some exceptions, rare in practice.

### FGLS: Specification of $\Omega$

- $\Omega$  must be specified first.

- In general,  $\Omega$  is specified in terms of a few parameters. Thus,  $\Omega = \Omega(\theta)$  for some small parameter vector  $\theta$ . Then, we need to estimate  $\theta$ .

#### Examples:

- (1)  $\text{Var}[\varepsilon_i | \mathbf{X}] = \sigma^2 f(\gamma' \mathbf{z}_i)$ . Variance a function of  $\gamma$  and some variable  $\mathbf{z}_i$  (say, market volatility, firm size, industry dummy, etc). In general,  $f(\cdot)$  is an exponential to make sure the variance is positive.

- (2)  $\varepsilon_i$  with AR(1) process. We have already derived  $\sigma^2 \Omega$  as a function of  $\rho$ .

Technical note: To achieve full efficiency, we do not need an *efficient* estimate of the parameters in  $\Omega$ , only a *consistent* one.



## FGLS: Estimation – Steps

• Steps for FGLS:

1. Estimate the model proposed in  $(\mathbf{A3}')$ . Get  $\hat{\sigma}_i^2$  &  $\hat{\sigma}_{ij}$ .
2. Find transformation matrix,  $\mathbf{P}$ , using the estimated  $\hat{\sigma}_i^2$  &  $\hat{\sigma}_{ij}$ .
3. Using  $\mathbf{P}$  from Step 2, transform model:
 
$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{X}^* &= \mathbf{P}\mathbf{X} \\ \mathbf{y}^* &= \mathbf{P}\mathbf{y}. \end{aligned}$$
4. Do FGLS, that is, OLS with  $\mathbf{X}^*$  &  $\mathbf{y}^*$ .

**Example:** In the pure heteroscedasticity case ( $\mathbf{P}$  is diagonal):

1. Estimate the model proposed in  $(\mathbf{A3}')$ . Get  $\hat{\sigma}_i^2$ .
2. Find transformation matrix,  $\mathbf{P}$ , with  $i^{\text{th}}$  diagonal element:  $1/\hat{\sigma}_i$
3. Transform model (each  $y_i$  and  $x_i$  is divided (“weighted”) by  $\hat{\sigma}_i$ ):
 
$$\begin{aligned} y_i^* &= y_i/\hat{\sigma}_i \\ x_{k,i}^* &= x_{k,i}/\hat{\sigma}_i \end{aligned}$$
4. Do FGLS, that is, OLS with transformed variables.

## FGLS: Estimation – Heteroscedasticity

**Example:** Last lecture, we found that  $(r_{m,t} - r_f)^2$  &  $(SMB_t)^2$  are drivers of the heteroscedasticity in DIS returns: Suppose we assume:

$$(\mathbf{A3}') \quad \sigma_t^2 = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 (r_{m,t} - r_f)^2 + \gamma_3 (SMB_t)^2$$

• Steps for FGLS:

1. Use OLS squared residuals to estimate  $(\mathbf{A3}')$ :

```
fit_dis_ff3 <- lm(dis_x ~ Mkt_RF + SMB + HML)
e_dis <- fit_dis_ff3$residuals
e_dis2 <- e_dis^2
fit_dis2 <- lm(e_dis2 ~ Mkt_RF2 + SMB2)
summary(fit_dis2)
var_dis2 <- fit_dis2$fitted           # Estimated variance vector, with elements  $\hat{\sigma}_i^2$ .
```

2. Find transformation matrix,  $\mathbf{P}$ , with  $i^{\text{th}}$  diagonal element:  $1/\hat{\sigma}_i$ 

```
w_fgl <- sqrt(var_dis2)           # 1/ $\hat{\sigma}_i$ 
```

3. Transform model: Each  $y_i$  and  $x_i$  is “weighted” by  $1/\hat{\sigma}_i$ .

```
y_fw <- dis_x/w_fgl             # transformed y
xx_fw <- cbind(x0, Mkt_RF, SMB, HML)/w_fgl     # transformed X
```

## FGLS: Estimation – Heteroscedasticity

### Example (continuation):

4. Do GLS, that is, OLS with transformed variables.

```
fit_dis_fgl <- lm(y_fw ~ xx_fw - 1)
> summary(fit_dis_fgl)
```

Coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t )	
xx_fw	-0.003097	0.002696	-1.149	0.251	
xx_fwMkt_RF	<b>1.208067</b>	0.073344	<b>16.471</b>	<2e-16	***
xx_fwSMB	-0.043761	0.105280	-0.416	0.678	
xx_fwHML	0.125125	0.100853	<b>1.241</b>	0.215	⇒ not longer significant at 10%.

---

Signif. codes: 0 '\*\*\*' 0.001 '\*\*' 0.01 '\*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Residual standard error: 0.9998 on 566 degrees of freedom

Multiple R-squared: 0.3413, Adjusted R-squared: 0.3366

F-statistic: 73.31 on 4 and 566 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16

## FGLS: Estimation – Heteroscedasticity

Example (continuation): Comparing OLS, GLS & FGLS results:

	$b_{OLS}$	SE	$b_{GLS}$	SE	$b_{FGLS}$	SE
Intercept	0.00417	0.00279	-0.00661	0.00159	-0.00310	0.00270
Mkt_RF	<b>1.26056</b>	0.06380	<b>1.58806</b>	0.33477	<b>1.20807</b>	0.07334
SMB	-0.02899	0.09461	-0.20042	0.06750	-0.04376	0.10528
HML	0.17455	0.09444	-0.04203	0.07282	0.12513	0.10085

- Comments:

- The GLS estimates are quite different than OLS estimates (remember OLS is unbiased and consistent). Very likely the assumed functional form in **(A3')** was not a good one.

- The FGLS results are similar to the OLS, as expected, if model is OK. FGLS is likely a more precise estimator (HML is not longer significant at 10%).

### FGLS Estimation: AR(1) Case – Cochrane-Orcutt

- In the AR(1) case, it is easier to estimate the model in *pseudo differences*:

$$y_t^* = \mathbf{X}_t^* \beta + u_t$$

$$y_t - \rho y_{t-1} = (\mathbf{X}_t - \rho \mathbf{X}_{t-1})' \beta + \varepsilon_t - \rho \varepsilon_{t-1}$$

$$\Rightarrow y_t = \rho y_{t-1} + \mathbf{X}_t' \beta - \mathbf{X}_{t-1}' \rho \beta + u_t$$

- We have a linear model, but it is nonlinear in parameters. OLS is not possible, but non-linear estimation is possible.
- Before today's computer power, Cochrane–Orcutt's (1949) iterative procedure was an ingenious way to do this estimation.

### FGLS Estimation: AR(1) Case – Cochrane-Orcutt

- Steps for Cochrane-Orcutt:
  - (0) Do OLS in (A1) model:  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X} \beta + \varepsilon$ . Get residuals,  $\mathbf{e}$ , &  $RSS_0$ .
  - (1) Estimate  $\rho$  with a regression of  $\mathbf{e}_t$  against  $\mathbf{e}_{t-1} \Rightarrow$  get  $\hat{\rho}$  (the estimator of  $\rho$ ).
  - (2) FGLS Step. Use  $\hat{\rho}$  transform the model to get  $\mathbf{y}^*$  and  $\mathbf{X}^*$ .  
Do OLS with  $\mathbf{y}^*$  and  $\mathbf{X}^* \Rightarrow$  get  $\mathbf{b}$  to estimate  $\beta$ .  
Get residuals,  $\mathbf{e}^* = \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X} \mathbf{b}$ , and new  $RSS_1$ . Go back to (1).
  - (3) Iterate until convergence, usually achieved when the difference in RSS of two consecutive iterations is lower than some tolerance level, say .0001. Then, stop when  $RSS_i - RSS_{i-1} < .0001$ .

## FGLS Estimation: Cochrane-Orcutt in R

### Example: Cochrane-Orcutt in R

```

# C.O. function requires Y, X (with constant), OLS b.
c.o.proc <- function(Y,X,b_0,tol) {
  T <- length(Y)
  e <- Y - X%*%b_0                                     # OLS residuals
  rss <- sum(e^2)                                       # Initial RSS of model, RSS0
  rss_1 <- rss                                         # RSS_1 will be used to reset RSS after each iteration
  d_rss = rss                                          # initialize d_rss: difference between RSSi & RSSi-1
  e2 <- e[-1]                                          # adjust sample size for et
  e3 <- e[-T]                                          # adjust sample size for et-1
  ols_e0 <- lm(e2 ~ e3 - 1)                             # OLS to estimate rho
  rho <- ols_e0$coeff[1]                               # initial value for rho, ρ0
  i<-1
  while (d_rss > tol) {                                # tolerance of do loop. Stop when diff in RSS < tol
    rss <- rss_1                                       # RSS at iter (i-1)
    YY <- Y[2:T] - rho * Y[1:(T-1)]                  # pseudo-diff Y
    XX <- X[2:T, ] - rho * X[1:(T-1), ]              # pseudo-diff X
    ols_yx <- lm(YY ~ XX - 1)                          # adjust if constant included in X
  }
}

```

## FGLS Estimation: Cochrane-Orcutt in R

### Example (continuation):

```

b <- ols_yx$coef                                       # updated OLS b at iteration i
# b[1] <- b[1]/(1-rho)                                # If constant not pseudo-differenced remove tag #
e1 <- Y - X%*%b                                       # updated residuals at iteration i
e2 <- e1[-1]                                          # adjust sample size for updated et
e3 <- e1[-T]                                          # adjust sample size for updated et-1 (lagged et)
ols_e1 <- lm(e2~e3-1)                                 # updated regression to value for rho at iteration i
rho <- ols_e1$coeff[1]                               # updated value of rho at iteration i, ρi
rss_1 <- sum(e1^2)                                    # updated value of RSS at iteration i, RSSi
d_rss <- abs(rss_1 - rss)                             # diff in RSS (RSSi - RSSi-1)
i <- i+1
}

result <-list()
result$Cochrane_Orc.Proc <- summary(ols_yx)
result$rho.regression <- summary(ols_e1)
# result$Corrected.b_1 <- b[1]
result$Iterations <- i-1
return(result)
}

```

## FGLS Estimation: Cochrane-Orcutt – $i_{MX}$

**Example:** In the model for Mexican interest rates ( $i_{MX}$ ), we suspect an AR(1) in the residuals:

$$i_{MX,t} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 i_{US,t} + \beta_2 e_t + \beta_3 mx\_I_t + \beta_4 mx\_y_t + \varepsilon_t$$

$$\varepsilon_t = \rho \varepsilon_{t-1} + u_t$$

### • OLS estimation.

```
y <- mx_i_1
T_mx <- length(mx_i_1)
x0 <- matrix(1,T_mx,1)
X <- cbind(x0, us_i_1, e_mx, mx_I, mx_y) # X matrix
fit_i <- lm(mx_i_1 ~ us_i_1 + e_mx + mx_I + mx_y)
b_i <- fit_i$coefficients # extract coefficients from lm
> summary(fit_i)
Coefficients:
              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(> |t|)
(Intercept)  0.04022    0.01506   2.671  0.00834 **
us_i_1       0.85886    0.31211   2.752  0.00661 **
e_mx        -0.01064    0.02130  -0.499  0.61812
mx_I        3.34581    0.19439  17.212 < 2e-16 ***
mx_y       -0.49851    0.73717  -0.676  0.49985
```

## FGLS Estimation: Cochrane-Orcutt – $i_{MX}$

**Example (continuation):** Now, we use **Cochrane-Orcutt**:

```
> c.o.proc(y, X, b_i, .0001)
$Cochrane.Orcutt.Proc

Call:
lm(formula = YY ~ XX - 1)

Residuals:
    Min     1Q   Median     3Q    Max
-0.69251 -0.02118 -0.01099  0.00538  0.49403

Coefficients:
              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(> |t|)
XX           0.16639    0.07289   2.283  0.0238 *
XXus_i_1    1.23038    0.76520   1.608  0.1098    => not longer significant at 5% level.
XXe_mx     -0.00535    0.01073  -0.499  0.6187
XXmx_I     0.41608    0.27260   1.526  0.1289    => not longer significant at 5% level.
XXmx_y    -0.44990    0.53096  -0.847  0.3981
---
```

## FGLS Estimation: Cochrane-Orcutt – $i_{MX}$

### Example (continuation):

Residual standard error: 0.09678 on 160 degrees of freedom

Multiple R-squared: 0.1082, Adjusted R-squared: 0.08038

F-statistic: 3.884 on 5 and 160 DF, p-value: 0.002381

\$rho

e3

**0.8830857**

⇒ very high autocorrelation.

\$Corrected.b\_1

XX

0.1663884

⇒ Constant corrected if X does not include a constant

\$Number.Iterations

[1] 10

⇒ algorithm converged in 10 iterations.

Note: The R package “orcutt” computes the Cochrane-Orcutt algorithm:  
library(orcutt)

cochrane.orcutt(**fit\_i**, convergence = 8, max.iter=100)

## GLS: General Remarks

- GLS is great (BLUE) if we know  $\Omega$ . Very rare situation.
- It needs the specification of  $\Omega$  –i.e., the functional form of autocorrelation and heteroscedasticity.
- If the specification is bad ⇒ estimates are biased.
- Feasible GLS is not BLUE (unlike GLS); but, it is consistent and asymptotically more efficient than OLS.
- We use GLS for inference and/or efficiency. OLS is still unbiased and consistent.
- OLS and GLS estimates will be different due to sampling error. But, if they are very different, then it is likely that some other CLM assumption is violated.