Credit Rating Agency and Equity Analysts'

Adjustments to GAAP Earnings

GEORGE BATTA, Claremont McKenna College

VOLKAN MUSLU, University of Houston

Accepted at Contemporary Accounting Research

Abstract

Moody's analysts and sell-side equity analysts adjust GAAP earnings as part of their research. We show that

adjusted earnings definitions of Moody's analysts are significantly lower than those of equity analysts when

companies exhibit higher downside risk, as measured by volatility in idiosyncratic stock returns, volatility in

negative market returns, poor earnings, and loss status. Relative to the adjusted earnings definitions of equity

analysts, adjusted earnings definitions of Moody's analysts better predict future bankruptcies, yet they fare

significantly worse in predicting future earnings and operating cash flows. These findings persist after controlling

for optimism incentives of analysts, reporting incentives of companies, credit rating levels, and industry and year

effects. Our findings suggest that credit rating agencies cater to their clients' demand for a more conservative

interpretation of company-reported performance than what is offered by equity analysts.

Keywords: Credit rating agencies, analysts, street earnings, conservatism.

JEL classification: G24.